

1

2

3

4

5

6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8

9 MANUEL D. ORELLANO,

10 Petitioner,

11 vs.

12 ROBERT LEGRAND, et al.,

13 Respondents.

14

15 Petitioner has submitted a motion for enlargement of time (#15). The amount of time that he
16 asks to respond to the motion to dismiss (#11) is excessive. The court will grant him an
17 enlargement of thirty-three (33) days.

18 Petitioner has submitted another motion for appointment of counsel (#9). Nothing in the
19 motion would cause the court to depart from its denial of petitioner's earlier motion.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for enlargement of time (#15) is
21 **GRANTED** in part. Petitioner shall have through September 2, 2014, to file and serve a response
22 to the motion to dismiss (#11).

23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (#9) is
24 **DENIED**.

25 Dated: August 26, 2014.


26

27

28

Case No. 3:13-cv-00482-RCJ-WGC

ORDER



ROBERT C. JONES
United States District Judge